

2005 WTA Second Annual Workshop

Workshop Evaluation

As part of the final session, delegates were asked to evaluate the workshop. The following is a summary of all comments made by two or more delegates.

What was good

Presentations/speakers - quality/variety	8
Workshops	7
Venues	6
Opportunity for networking/ personal contact	4
Diverse mix of participants	4
General praise for the event	3
Organisation	2

What was bad

Program too rushed/ too full/ inflexible	3
Too few delegates	3
Not enough time to enjoy surroundings	3

Suggestions for future

State summaries of wildlife tourism developments/issues 2

(Other comments by more than one person were simply suggestions that we should avoid the things listed as 'bad' above)

Comparison with last workshop evaluation:

- Similar, but overcame things listed as 'bad' last time, and more praise for presentations this time.
- However lower attendance this time.

Conclusions:

- Committee to analyse likely reasons for low attendance
- Subject to above analysis, any future workshops to adopt similar format to this but build in a little more flexibility, and a little more time to enjoy venue, into main program.
- If possible, organise state/ chapter summaries re wildlife tourism.